1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	The Honorable James L. Robart
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
10	DEDRA STRICKLAND,
11	Plaintiff,  CAUSE NO. 18-01073JLR  PEFEND ANTES: ANSWED TO
12	vs. DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR
13	STATE OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE  CENTER AL COLLEGE AND DAMAGES
14	CENTRAL COLLEGE, et al.
15	Defendants.
16	Defendants, State of Washington Seattle Central College, Sheila Edwards Lange, David
17	Blake, Yoshiko Harden, Christine Nelson, Susan Engel, Jessica Norouzi, Brigid McDevitt, Brian
18	Kenney, and David Skogerboe (hereafter Defendants) in answer to Plaintiff's complaint, admit,
19	deny and allege as follows:
20	I. PARTIES
21	1.1 On information and belief, Defendants admit.
22	1.2 Admit.
23	1.3 Defendants admit that Sheila Edwards Lange at all times acted in her official capacity.
24	Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of
25	the allegations contained in paragraph 1.3.
26	1.4 Defendants admit that David Blacke at all times acted in his official capacity. Defendants

lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of the		
allegations contained in paragraph 1.4.		
1.5 Defendants admit that Yoshiko Harden at all times acted in his official capacity.		
Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of		
the allegations contained in paragraph 1.5.		
1.6 Defendants admit that Christina Nelson at all times acted in her official capacity.		
Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of		
the allegations contained in paragraph 1.6.		
1.7 Defendants admit that Susan Engel at all times acted in her official capacity. Defendants		
lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of the		
allegations contained in paragraph 1.7.		
1.8 Defendants admit that Jessica Norouzi at all times acted in her official capacity.		
Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of		
the allegations contained in paragraph 1.8.		
1.9 Defendants admit that Brigid McDevitt at all times acted in her official capacity.		
Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of		
the allegations contained in paragraph 1.9.		
1.10 Defendants admit that Brian Kenney at all times acted in his official capacity. Defendants		
lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of the		
allegations contained in paragraph 1.10.		
1.11 Defendants admit that David Skogerboe at all times acted in his official capacity.		
Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the balance of		
the allegations contained in paragraph 1.11.		
1.12 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the		
allegations contained in paragraph 1.12.		
1.13 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to admit and therefore deny the		

1	allegations contained in paragraph 1.13.
2	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE
3	2.1 Paragraph 2.1 states allegations of law that require no answer.
4	2.2 Paragraph 2.2 states allegations of law that require no answer.
5	2.3 Paragraph 2.3 states allegations of law that require no answer.
6	2.4 Paragraph 2.4 states allegations of law that require no answer.
7	2.5 Defendants admit that Plaintiff filed a Tort Claim on May 3, 2018, and further admit that
8	Defendants sent acknowledgment of Plaintiffs Tort Claim on June 6, 2018. Defendants deny the
9	balance of the allegations contained in paragraph 2.5.
10	2.6 Admitted.
11	2.7 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
12	the allegations contained in paragraph 2.7 and, therefore, deny the same.
13	III. NATURE OF THE CASE
14	3.1 Paragraph 3.1 states no allegation regarding Defendants and thus requires no answer.
15	Insofar as an answer is required, Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.1.
16	3.2 Paragraph 3.2 states no allegation regarding Defendants and thus requires no answer.
17	Insofar as an answer is required, Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.2.
18	3.3 Paragraph 3.3 states no allegation regarding Defendants and thus requires no answer.
19	Insofar as an answer is required, Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.3.
20	3.4 Paragraph 3.4 states no allegation regarding Defendants and thus requires no answer.
21	Insofar as an answer is required, Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.4.
22	IV. GENERAL FACTS
23	4.1 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
24	the allegations contained in paragraph 4.1 and, therefore, deny the same.
25	4.2 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
26	the allegations contained in paragraph 4.2 and, therefore, deny the same.

4.3 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 4.1 and, therefore, deny the same. V. **CAUSES OF ACTION** Α. **RACE DISCRIMINATION** 5.1 Admit. 5.2 On information and belief Defendants admit that the College had a Program Assistant position in June 2015 and deny the balance of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.2. 5.3 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.3 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.4 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.4 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.5 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.5 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.6 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.6 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.7 Denied. 5.8 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.8 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.9 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.9 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.10 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.10 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.11 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.11 and, therefore, deny the same. 5.12 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.12 and, therefore, deny the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	5.13 Denied.
2	5.14 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
3	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.14 and, therefore, deny the same.
4	5.14 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
5	truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.14(sic) and, therefore, deny the same.
6	B. SEX DISCRIMINATION
7	5.16 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
8	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.16 and, therefore, deny the same.
9	5.16 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
10	truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.16(sic) and, therefore, deny the same.
11	5.17 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
12	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.17 and, therefore, deny the same.
13	5.19 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
14	truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.19 and, therefore, deny the same.
15	C. AGE DISCRIMINATION
16	5.20 On information and belief Defendants admit that Plaintiff is over the age of forty (40),
17	and deny the balance of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.20.
18	5.21 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
19	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.21 and, therefore, denies the same.
20	5.22 Defendants admit that David Skogerboe was for a limited time the supervisor of Plaintiff,
21	and deny the balance of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.22.
22	5.23 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
23	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.23 and, therefore, denies the same.
24	5.24 Denied.
25	D. VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS
26	5.25 Denied.

1	5.26 Denied.
2	5.27 Denied.
3	E. RETALIATION
4	5.26 (sic) Denied.
5	5.28 Denied.
6	5.27 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
7	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.27 and, therefore, deny the same.
8	5.28 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
9	truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5.28(sic) and, therefore, deny the same.
10	5.29 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
11	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.29 and, therefore, deny the same.
12	5.30 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
13	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.30 and, therefore, deny the same.
14	5.31 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
15	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.31 and, therefore, deny the same.
16	5.32 Denied.
17	5.33 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
18	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.33 and, therefore, deny the same.
19	5.34 Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
20	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.34 and, therefore, deny the same.
21	5.38 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
22	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.38(sic) and, therefore, deny the same.
23	5.35 (sic) Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
24	the allegations contained in paragraph 5.35 and, therefore, deny the same.
25	
26	

By Way of FURTHER ANSWER and FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants		
allege:		
1. SERVICE OF PROCESS: that the summons and complaint were never properly served		
upon the Defendants [or that the process served was insufficient].		
2. JURISDICTION: that the court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action [or		
that the court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the Defendant].		
3. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES: that Plaintiff has failed to exhaust		
administrative remedies or Plaintiff's remedy is administrative rather than judicial and therefore the		
action will not lie.		
4. FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM: that the Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which		
relief may be granted.		
5. GOOD FAITH: that the Defendant at all times acted in good faith in the performance of its		
duties and is therefore immune from suit for the matters charged in plaintiff's complaint.		
6. MITIGATION OF DAMAGES: that if the Plaintiff suffered any damages, recovery		
therefor is barred by plaintiff's failure to mitigate said damages.		
7. IMMUNITY: that the Defendants are immune from suit for the matters charged in		
plaintiff's complaint.		
8. ELEVENTH AMENDMENT IMMUNITY: that the Defendant State of Washington, its		
agencies and agents, are not subject to civil suit for damages under the Eleventh Amendment of the		
Constitution of the United States.		
WHEREFORE, Defendants pray that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice as		
to Defendants Washington State Seattle Central College, Sheila Edwards Lange, David Blake,		
Yoshiko Harden, Christine Nelson, Susan Engel, Jessica Norouzi, Brigid McDevitt, Brian Kenney,		
//		
//		

## Case 2:18-cv-01073-JLR Document 18 Filed 08/23/18 Page 8 of 9

1	and David Skogerboe and that Plaintiff takes nothing by her complaint and that Defendants be
2	allowed their costs and reasonable attorney fees herein.
3	DATED this 23rd day of August, 2018August, 2018.
4	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
5	Attorney General
6	s/Alex Foster-Brown
7	ALEX FOSTER-BROWN, WSBA No. 52149 Assistant Attorneys General
8	800 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 2000; Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-464-7352; Fax: 206-587-4229
9	Email: <u>alexanderf@atg.wa.gov</u>
10	s/ Kaylynn What
11	KAYLYNN WHAT, WSBA No. 43442 Assistant Attorneys General
12	800 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 2000; Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-464-7352; Fax: 206-587-4229
13	Email: Kaylynnw@atg.wa.gov
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21   22	
23   24   25   26	

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
2	I declare that on today's date I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing document with
3	the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the
4	following:
5	Beverly Grant – <u>beverly@bevgrantlaw.com</u>
6	Elizabeth Lunde – elizabethlunde@bevgrantlaw.com
7	DATED this 23rd day of August 2018.
8	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
9	
10	<u>s/ Alex Foster-Brown</u> ALEX FOSTER-BROWN, WSBA No. 52149
11	Assistant Attorneys General 800 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 2000; Seattle, WA 98104
12	Tel: 206-464-7352; Fax: 206-587-4229 Email: <u>alexanderf@atg.wa.gov</u>
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	